

	GSA QUESTIONS	Short Answer
1	Did the President or other Administration officials direct GSA and FBI to build on the JEH site to prevent private development that would compete with his hotel?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Not that we are aware of. •Principals of all stake-holders from the administration met and jointly decided.
2	Does the FBI Director want to be on Pennsylvania Avenue?	•FBI top leadership prefers the centralized location in NCR.
3	CIA and NSA have suburban campuses. Why does the FBI need to be on Pennsylvania Avenue?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •FBI is IC + law enforcement. •Visible representation of nation's law enforcement on Penn Ave.
4	Why did GSA/FBI "change their mind" and propose to construct a new FBI HQs on the JEH site, instead of a suburban campus?	Benefits of new strategy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Centralized location and transportation •Proximity to mission partners •Cost avoidance •Improved resiliency + COOP •Maintain public facing •Ability to attract talented workforce outside of NCR
5	How and why did the FBI change their program of requirements?	•Program changed to reflect difference between suburban and urban option
6	Which FBI components/employees are targeted to move outside the NCR? Have they and their families been notified?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Plan is: 8300(DC) + 1800 (AL) + 250(WV) + 250 (ID) •FBI is finalizing specific positions •Based on mission
7	You want me to sign off on a plan that would move my boss' constituents to another part of the country?	•Relocation is to meet FBI's mission needs
8	Did GSA/FBI consider any suburban sites in the region that could house 2,300 fewer FBI employees?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •No •Current site can fit 8300 staff •Current site has no land acquisition cost
9	Both agencies, during the two previous administrations, said the JEH site was not viable due to security and consolidation requirements. What has changed?	•Reduced staff at NCR and mission benefits makes current site more advantageous.
10	Why is this recommendation so much more expensive than the previous procurement considering the proposed removal of 2,300 employees from the FBI HQs?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The cost between programs are comparable. •Transportation mitigation cost in previous strategy equates to swing space and non-NCR costs.

11	What is the cost to build out space in Virginia, Idaho, West Virginia, and Alabama?	PENDING
12	What is the expense to move and relocate the 2,300 FBI employees out of the National Capital Region?	PENDING
13	Why did GSA/FBI recommend federal construction when the previous procurement was canceled due to a lack of appropriations?	•Federal construction deemed more viable with increase of spending cap.
14	Why did GSA/FBI not pursue project funding through a public private partnership?	•Federal construction avoids developer's fee + financing costs
15	Does GSA/FBI wish to circumvent the prospectus process and EPW by requesting funding for the project through CJS, instead of FSGG?	•No, that is not the intent. •Did not want to negatively impact the other projects requiring FSGG funding.
A ADMINISTRATION + PENNSYLVANIA AVE		
1	Was President Trump involved in the decision to reuse the JEH site to build a new FBI HQ? If no, who specifically was involved?	•Principals of all stake-holders from the administration met and jointly decided to use the existing site.
B REVISED NATIONAL STRATEGY		
1	Does the FBI support this plan? Do you personally believe this is the right approach?	•Yes due to benefits to mission
2	Why does the FBI suddenly no longer require Consolidation? How long has this 'new' plan been in development?	•Still consolidating 8300 staff •Consolidating mission elements •Allows resiliency and COOP capabilities
C SECURITY		
1	Will the new plan meet ISC Level 5 Security standards?	•Yes •Meets blasts, CBR, progressive collapse, RF shielding, intrusion detection, ballistic requirements •Requires adjustments to current blast model: additional hardening + acceptance of façade damage after large event
2	Will the new plan provide the same blast protection as the previous plan?	•No •Requires adjustments to current blast model: additional hardening + acceptance of façade damage after large event •Will use layered approach to hardening •Critical mission elements will be located away from threat.

3	Who for the record is accepting the increased security risk associated with this decision?	FBI
4	FBI has stated for years that it needs a 350ft setback to protect against its blast load in order to meet ISC level V requirement. How are you still able to comply with that when you don't have the setback at the JEH site? What are you doing instead to meet the level V requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •ISC V requirements are set by the agency •Blast is only one component of ISC requirements. All other criteria will be fully met •Requires adjustments to current blast model: additional hardening + acceptance of façade damage after large event
D COST		
1	How can you say this is a good deal for the taxpayer when it cost more per seat?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The cost between programs are comparable. •Transportation mitigation cost in previous strategy equates to swing space and non-NCR costs.
2	Why are relocation cost outside the NCR not included?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Separate project cost with separate funding request. •FBI will provide details
3	How can you say this is a good deal for the taxpayer when we are basically throwing away \$479M in temporary swing space?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The cost between programs are comparable. •Transportation mitigation cost in previous strategy equates to swing space and non-NCR costs. •Long term mission benefits and savings outweigh temporary swing space cost.
4	Why was rent for swing space not included?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Rent is not a new request for funding. •Current JEH rent cost will offset swing space rent.
5	Why was the previous full cost of the project never shared? Why should we trust this IS the full request now?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •High sensitivity due to JEH exchange value •Current cost is a fully loaded estimate.
E OUTSIDE NCR		
1	If Huntsville doesn't receive funding (which I'll note – there is no request included in the FY18 budget); can you still move forward with this plan?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Yes •FBI will maintain existing leases until space + relocation are completed
F FUNDING		
1	What other funding alternatives were considered and which did GSA/FBI recommend to OMB?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •All funding options for both federal and lease construction that are within GSA's authority
2	Why do you believe the \$703M in prior year appropriations is available for your use in this revised effort? Don't you need to request to reprogram?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Funds were specifically allocated for the HQ project.

3	Explain again why the previous procurement was cancelled? We indicated funds would be made available in FY18 – which you now fully acknowledge is a possibility as you are now asking for \$2.175B in FY18 which I'll note is a dramatic increase from the stated shortfall of \$882M.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •\$882M was only for D+C, no fit-out •Total previous shortfall: \$2.41B w/o JEH exchange •Current shortfall: \$2.175B all in.
G PREVIOUS PROCUREMENT		
1	How much money was spent on previous efforts that oops on second thought we no longer need?	•\$27M combined both FBI and GSA of funds allocated to the project.
2	What amount if any of the previous work from 10 years of effort can be utilized?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Lessons learned •POR - Substantial use •RFP/EIS - Partial use
3	How much longer will it take to deliver a new facility on the JEH site vs. using one of the three sites the FBI already said was acceptable?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Previous timeline - site dependent •Current timeline comparable to previous approach
5	Is the real reason the prior procurement was cancelled was because the FBI is opposed to moving to PG County?	•No
6	We know GSA spent approximately \$20M on the last failed procurement; how much did the FBI spend?	•Approximately \$7M of funds allocated to the project.